

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/736,650	12/16/2003	James Wong	SUPERCON 23	5354
7590 10/13/2005			EXAMINER	
Norman P.Soloway			NGUYEN, DONGHAI D	
HAYES SOLO	WAY P.C.		1001000	DADED MIR (DED
130 W. Cushing Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tucson, AZ 85701			3729	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/736,650	WONG, JAMES
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all all all all all all all all all al	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/7/04. S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/736,650 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The information disclosure statement filed May 12, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 2. The information disclosure statement filed May 12, 2004 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because: the IDS are listed for different application; no column with blank space for Examiner to Initial and considered the document; and no signature line for Examiner to sign and date. They have been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/736,650 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrases "the stack" (claim 1, line 8) and "the elongated billet" (claim 1, line 13) lack antecedent basis.

The scope of claims 8 and 9 are not clear because claims directed to method invention however their preambles direct to product invention (see Claims 8 and 9, line 1). It is suggested that claims 8 and 9 should be canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-7 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,869,196 to Wong et al.

Regarding claim 1, Wong et al disclose a process for making superconducting material useful for forming electrolytic devices comprising the steps of: a) establishing multiple niobium or tantalum components (2) in a primary billet of a ductile material (3); b) working the primary

Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

billet to a series of reduction steps to form said niobium or tantalum components into elongated elements (Col. 5, lines 35-50); c) cutting and restacking the elongated elements from step d) around a metal core (5) and surrounding the stack with a porous confining layer (6/7) to form a secondary billet (Figs. 3a-c); d) working the secondary billet from step c) through a series of reduction steps (Col. 6, lines 1-6); including twisting and rolling into thin ribbon with an Aspect Ratio of greater than 5:1 (inherently teach the twisting and rolling since Col. 15, lines 23-36 disclose the billet are shaped into flatten ribbons 17 see Figs. 6-7 by jelly-roll method which including twisting and rolling. see Fig. 11 of US Patent 5,554,448 reference for more details about jelly-roll method); e) cutting the elongated billet from step d) into sections (Col. 6, lines 1-19); and f) leaching the core and sheath at least in part (Col. 6, lines 36-38).

Regarding claims 2-4, Wong et al disclose the leaching is in an acid leach (Col. 6, line 20); in a liquid metal bath comprises molten magnesium (Col. 9, line 48).

Regarding claims 5, see Fig. 3b.

Regarding claim 6, see Fig. 3c.

Regarding claim 7, Wong et al disclose several separate segments are used to construct a multi anode capacitor assembly (See Col. 6, lines 48-49).

7. Claims 8 and 9 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wong et al.

Regarding product by process claims 8 and 9 of the present invention, Wong disclose an electronic device/electrolytic capacitor (Fig. 4b) is made from the superconductor material (see Col. 4, line 1). Further, Applicant is referred to MPEP section 2113.

Art Unit: 3729

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Prior art references cited for their teaching of manufacturing superconductor devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghai D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-4566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (571)-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN October 3, 2005

MINHTRINH PRIMARY EXAMINER